From: "Sandra Sobko" <<u>ssobko@shaw.ca</u>>
Subject: Disappointed over the process
Date: 3 June, 2013 8:44:10 PM MDT

To: <rollin.stanley@calgary.ca>

Cc: <druh.farrell@Calgary.ca>, <gord.lowe@calgary.ca>, <Dale.Hodges@Calgary.ca>,

<<u>tick@shaw.ca</u>>, <<u>rhonsberger@shaw.ca</u>>, <<u>jeremy@sturgessarchitecture.com</u>>

I have been following the proposed development at the Stadium Shopping Centre in NW Calgary for several months.

Recently I have seen that the City has made public its draft ARP for the development.

I am stunned that virtually none of the community input has been heard. The City planners have spoken of 'input' but none of it is reflected in the planned development — quite the opposite. The City has adopted the all of the main of the development as proposed by the developer including:

Density — the community is NOT against the development just the scale of development which is completely inappropriate to the area and the community. Another Market Mall!

Traffic — the plan addresses none of the traffic issues raised. Traffic is already congested in the area. In fact the plan proposes reducing the parking!

Safety — several points were raised concerning safety of a major development including a 200 room hotel in a residential area with two immediately adjacent schools (including ).

Transit — the role of future transit to and from the development is left is a highly vague state. It is proposed that fewer cars will be used to get to the site but without addressing in any serious way HOW.

City Reserve — the trading off of the City's land into the development — this has been vigorously opposed by the Community.

Vagueness — the Plan itself is full of vague recommendations and suggestions for the developer — why not definite statements and rules as are allowed in such ARPs?

I am especially disturbed by the process the Planning Dept has used. Inaccurate deadline information, unrealistic feedback deadlines (in some cases less than 24 hours to respond!), and simply not hearing any feedback from the community which is 97% against the <a href="mailto:scope">scope</a> of the development. The city has included post it notes from the community centre as evidence of feedback but has not included submissions from the Community.

I thought the City of was in a disturbing state of affairs — unhappily I see the same cavalier attitude towards the public interest reflected in  ${\boldsymbol \cdot}$ 

Please address the Community's concerns in a serious manner.

Thank you,

Bruce Seifred

403-288-0608